

WORD PROBLEMS RECOGNISABLE BY DETERMINISTIC BLIND MONOID AUTOMATA

Mark Kambites

Fachbereich Mathematik / Informatik, Universität Kassel
34109 Kassel, Germany

kambites@theory.informatik.uni-kassel.de

ABSTRACT. We consider blind, deterministic, finite automata equipped with a register which stores an element of a given monoid, and which is modified by right multiplication by monoid elements. We show that, for monoids M drawn from a large class including groups, such an automaton accepts the word problem of a group H if and only if H has a finite index subgroup which embeds in the group of units of M . In the case that M is a group, this answers a question of Elston and Ostheimer.

1. INTRODUCTION

Several authors have studied finite automata augmented with a memory register, which stores at any moment an element of a given monoid M . Such an automaton, which we shall term an M -*automaton*, cannot read its register, but it may change the contents of the register by multiplying on the right by some element of the monoid. The register is initialised with the identity element, and the automaton accepts an input word w exactly if, by reading this word, it can reach a final state, in which the register has returned to the identity element.

A number of notable classes of languages can be characterised in this way. If M is taken to be a free abelian group of rank n , then the languages accepted by M -automata are exactly those accepted by the blind n -counter machines studied by Greibach [4]. As another example, Gilman [3] has observed that if M is a polycyclic monoid of rank 2 or more, then we obtain exactly the context-free languages. Other examples have been studied, implicitly or explicitly, by Ibarra, Sahni and Kim [5], by Dassow and Mitrana [1] and by Mitrana and Stiebe [8, 9].

At the same time, an area of perennial interest in combinatorial and computational algebra is the study of *word problems* of groups. It is well-known that many structural properties of groups are reflected in the language theoretic properties of their word problems, and vice versa. It seems very natural to ask if there is any connection between the structural properties of a given monoid M and of the collection of groups whose word problems are recognised by M -automata.

This problem was explicitly considered by Elston and Ostheimer [2], in the case where the register monoid is a group. They showed that a group H has

Key words and phrases. group, monoid, automaton, word problem, finite index subgroup.

word problem recognisable by a deterministic G -automaton with a certain inverse property if and only if H has a finite index subgroup which embeds in G . They also considered two possible ways in which one might think to strengthen this theorem. Firstly, they demonstrated that the hypothesis of determinism cannot in general be removed, by exhibiting a non-deterministic $(F_3 \times F_3)$ -automaton accepting the word problem of the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^3$.

Secondly, they posed the question of whether the inverse property hypothesis can be removed, that is, whether a group H whose word problem is accepted by a deterministic G -automaton necessarily has a finite index subgroup which embeds in G . The primary objective of this note is to provide a positive answer to this question. A secondary intention is to show, in addition, that one need not assume the register monoid to be a group; rather, it suffices that it belongs to a large class of monoids satisfying a weak right cancellativity condition. Specifically, we prove the following (definitions can be found in Section 2 below).

Theorem 1. *Let M be a monoid with unique left inverses and H a finitely generated group. Then the word problem for H is accepted by a deterministic M -automaton if and only if H has a finite index subgroup which embeds in the group of units of M .*

In addition to this introduction, this paper comprises three sections. In Section 2 we recall some basic definitions and results, while Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. Finally, in Section 4 we discuss some consequences of our result, and pose a related question which remains open.

2. BASICS

In this section we recall the basic definitions which are used in the sections that follow. We assume a basic familiarity with finite automata and with group theory; the reader lacking prior experience in either of these areas is advised to consult one of the many textbooks on each area, such as [7] or [11].

Let M be a monoid with identity 1, and X be a finite alphabet. By an M -automaton A over X , we mean a finite automaton A over $M \times X^*$. We say that A accepts a word $w \in X^*$ if it accepts $(1, w) \in M \times X^*$ when considered as a finite automaton in the usual sense, that is, if there is a path from the start state to a terminal state labelled $(1, w)$. The language recognised or accepted by A is the set of all words $w \in X^*$ which are accepted by A .

The underlying automaton of A is the finite automaton over X which is obtained from A by disregarding the M component of the edge labels. It is easily seen to accept a regular language which contains the language accepted by A .

We say that an M -automaton A is *deterministic* if its edges are labelled by elements of $M \times X$, and for every state q and letter $x \in X$ there is at most one edge leaving q with a label of the form (g, x) for some $g \in M$.

Of particular interest to us is the case where the alphabet X is viewed as a monoid generating set for a finitely generated free group F . For a word $w \in X^*$ we denote by $\bar{w}$ the element of F represented. We say that a G -automaton X over F accepts a subset $Q \subseteq F$ if it accepts the preimage of

Q in X^* , that is, the language of **all** words in X^* which represent elements of Q .

If H is a group generated as a monoid by a subset X , then H is naturally isomorphic to F/Q for some free group F generated as a monoid by X and some normal subgroup Q of F . The *word problem* for H is the set of all words in the free monoid X^* which represent the identity in H , that is, which represent elements of Q in F .

Let M be a monoid with identity 1. Recall that the *group of units* $G(M)$ of M is the set of elements in M which have a two-sided inverse with respect to 1, that is, the largest subgroup of M containing 1.

We say that M has *unique left inverses* if whenever $a, b, c \in M$ are such that $ba = 1 = ca$, we have $b = c$. This condition can be regarded as an extremely weak cancellativity property. In particular, it is satisfied by all right cancellative monoids, and hence by all groups. It is also satisfied by a number of other monoids of particular interest in this context; these include the polycyclic monoids, which will be discussed further in Section 4.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove our main theorem. The proof requires a number of definitions and lemmas. Throughout this section, we assume that M is a monoid with identity 1; from a certain point on, we shall require that it has unique left inverses. The reader better versed in group theory than semigroup theory may prefer, at a first reading, to imagine that M is a group throughout. We begin with a straightforward definition.

Definition 2. An [deterministic] M -automaton A accepting a language L is called [deterministic] *terminal state minimal* or $[D]TSM$ if L is accepted by no [deterministic] M -automaton with strictly fewer terminal states.

Since the natural numbers are well-ordered, it is immediate that any language accepted by a [deterministic] M -automaton is accepted by a $[D]TSM$ M -automaton.

Lemma 3. *Let A be a TSM or DTSM M -automaton accepting a language L . Let q_0 be the initial state of A and let q be a terminal state of A . Then there is a path from q_0 to q with label $(1, w)$ for some $w \in L$.*

Proof. If not, then the automaton obtained from A by making q non-terminal accepts the same language with strictly fewer terminal states, contradicting the assumption that A is TSM or DTSM. $\square$

Now let F be a free group generated as a monoid by a finite subset X . Let Q be a subgroup of F , and suppose that Q is accepted by a TSM or DTSM M -automaton A .

Lemma 4. *Let q be a terminal state of A , and let A_q be the automaton which is the same as A , except that the initial state is q . Then A_q accepts a subset of the words representing elements of Q . Moreover, if A is deterministic then A_q accepts exactly the words representing elements of Q .*

Proof. Let q_0 be the initial state of A . By Lemma 3, there is a path labelled $(1, w)$ from q_0 to q , for some $w \in X^*$ such that $\overline{w} \in Q$. Now suppose $x \in X^*$

is accepted by A_q . Then there is a path from q to a terminal state labelled $(1, x)$. It follows that there is a path from q_0 to the same terminal state labelled $(1, wx)$, so that $\overline{wx} \in Q$. But since Q is a subgroup and $\overline{w} \in Q$, it follows that $\overline{x} \in Q$.

For the converse, we must suppose that A is deterministic. Now if $x \in X^*$ is such that $\overline{x} \in Q$ then $\overline{wx} \in Q$. So wx is accepted by A , that is, there is a path from q_0 to a terminal state labelled $(1, wx)$. But since the automaton is deterministic, this path must reach q after reading w , so there is a path from q to a terminal state labelled $(1, x)$. But this path also exists in A_q , so x is accepted by A_q . $\square$

Corollary 5. *Suppose A is deterministic. Then the language of all words $w \in X^*$ such that $(1, w)$ labels a path between two terminal states is exactly the membership language of the subgroup Q .*

Proof. The empty word over X represents the identity in F , which must be a member of the subgroup Q . Since the automaton is deterministic, it follows that the initial state is a terminal state. Hence, the given language contains all words $w \in F$ such that $(1, w)$ labels a path from the initial state to a terminal state, that is, the membership language of Q . Conversely, if $(1, w)$ labels a path between terminal states p and q then w is accepted by A_p , so by Lemma 4, $\overline{w} \in Q$ as required. $\square$

Corollary 6. *Suppose A is deterministic, and let p and q be terminal states of A . Then there exists $w \in X^*$ with $\overline{w} \in Q$ such that there is a path from p to q labelled $(1, w)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4, the automaton A_p with initial state p accepts Q . Clearly A_p is still DTSM, so by Lemma 3, there is a path in A_p from p to q labelled $(1, w)$ for some $w \in X^*$ with $\overline{w} \in Q$. But this path also exists in A . $\square$

Lemma 7. *Suppose M has unique left inverses, A is deterministic and Q is a normal subgroup of F . Suppose (g, w) and (h, z) both label paths between (possibly different) pairs of terminal states. Suppose further that $\overline{w}Q = \overline{z}Q$. Then $g = h$.*

Proof. Suppose (g, w) labels a path from a to b , while (h, z) labels a path from c to d . By Lemma 4, we can assume without loss of generality that a is the initial state.

By Corollary 6, there is a path x labelled $(1, u)$ from a to c , and a path labelled $(1, v)$ from d to b , for some $u, v \in X^*$ such that $\overline{u}, \overline{v} \in Q$. Hence, there is a path y labelled $(1, u)(h, z)(1, v) = (h, uzv)$ from a to b . Now since $\overline{u}, \overline{v} \in Q$ and Q is normal, we must have that $(\overline{u}\overline{z}\overline{v})(\overline{z})^{-1} \in Q$. Let $t \in X^*$ be a word representing $(\overline{z})^{-1}$. Then the automaton must accept $uzvt$. Also, by assumption, we have $\overline{w}Q = \overline{z}Q$, so that $(\overline{w})(\overline{z})^{-1} = \overline{wt} \in Q$, so the automaton must accept wt . Hence, there are paths from a to some terminal state, labelled $(1, uzvt)$ and $(1, wt)$. Since the automaton is deterministic, these paths must begin by following the paths x and y respectively, until they reach state b . Now there must be paths from b to a terminal state labelled (g', t) and (h', t) , where g' and h' are right inverses of g and h respectively.

But the automaton is deterministic, so it follows that $g' = h'$, and now by the unique left inverse condition, that $g = h$ as required. $\square$

We assume from here on that A is deterministic, M has unique left inverses, and Q is normal. Now let J be the set of all words in X^* which are read between terminal states in the underlying finite state automaton of A . Let $K = \{jQ \mid j \in J\} \subseteq F/Q$.

Lemma 8. *K is a subgroup of F/Q , with index bounded above by the number of states in A .*

Proof. Suppose $j, k \in J$ so that $\bar{j}Q, \bar{k}Q \in K$. Suppose j labels a path between terminal states a and b in the underlying automaton, while k labels a path between terminal states c and d . By Corollary 6, there is a path from b to c labelled by some word $q \in X^*$ such that $\bar{q} \in Q$. Hence, there is a path from a to d labelled $j q k$, so that $j q k \in J$ and $\overline{j q k}Q \in K$. But clearly $\overline{j q k}Q = \bar{j}Q\bar{k}Q$, so that $\bar{j}Q\bar{k}Q \in K$. Thus, K is closed under composition.

Now suppose $j \in J$ so that $\bar{j}Q \in K$. Then there is a path in the underlying automaton between terminal states labelled j , so there is a path p in A between terminal states a and b labelled (g, j) for some $g \in M$. By Lemma 4, we can assume without loss of generality that a is the initial state of A . Let $t \in X^*$ be a word representing $(\bar{j})^{-1}$. Now certainly $\bar{j}t = 1 \in Q$, so there must be a path from a to a terminal state labelled $(1, jt)$. Since A is deterministic, this path must follow p as far as state b , so there must be a path from b to a terminal state labelled (g', t) , where g' is a right inverse of g in M . Hence, there is a path between terminal states in the underlying automaton, labelled t . Thus, $t \in J$ and so $(\bar{j})^{-1}Q = \bar{t}Q \in K$.

We have shown that K is a subgroup of F/Q . It remains to justify the claim about its index. Recall that a state q of A is *accessible* if there is a path in A from the initial state to q . For each accessible state q in A , choose $g_q \in M$ and $w_q \in X^*$ such that (g_q, w_q) labels a path from the initial state of A to state q . For each word w_q , let $v_q \in X^*$ be a word representing $(\overline{w_q})^{-1}$. Now $\overline{w_q v_q} = 1 \in Q$, so that $(1, w_q v_q)$ labels a state from the initial state to a terminal state. Since A is deterministic, this must pass through q , and so there must be a path from q to a terminal state labelled (g'_q, v_q) for some right inverse g'_q of g_q .

Now let $v \in F$. Then there is a path in A labelled (g, v) for some $g \in M$, from the initial state to some state q . Now q is clearly accessible, so there is a path from q to a terminal state labelled (g'_q, v_q) . It follows that the underlying finite automaton of A accepts the word (vv_q) , so that $vv_q \in J$. But now $(\bar{v})(\overline{v_q})^{-1}Q = \overline{vv_q}Q \in K$, so that $\bar{v}Q$ and $\overline{v_q}Q$ lie in the same coset of K . Hence, the number of cosets of K in F/Q is bounded above by the number of states in A , as required. $\square$

We are now in a position to define the desired embedding of K into the group $G(M)$.

Lemma 9. *There is a well-defined embedding $\sigma : K \rightarrow G(M)$ given by setting $\sigma(\bar{w}Q)$ to be the unique $g \in M$ such that (g, w) labels a path between terminal states in A .*

Proof. That σ is well-defined function from K to M follows directly from Lemma 7.

To see that σ is a homomorphism, suppose $j, k \in J$. As in the previous proof, suppose (g, j) labels a path between terminal states a and b in A , while (h, k) labels a path between terminal states c and d . By Corollary 6, there is a path from b to c labelled by $(1, q)$ for some element $q \in X^*$ with $\bar{q} \in Q$. Hence, there is a path from a to d labelled (gh, jqk) . It follows that $\sigma(\bar{j}Q)\sigma(\bar{k}Q) = gh = \sigma(\bar{j}qkQ) = \sigma(\bar{j}Q\bar{k}Q)$, as required.

Now if $j \in X^*$ is such that $\bar{j}Q = Q$ is the identity in K , then we have $\bar{j} \in Q$. By the original assumption on the automaton, there is a path between terminal states labelled $(1, j)$, so that $\sigma(Q) = \sigma(\bar{j}Q) = 1$ in M . Since the class of groups is closed under the taking of semigroup homomorphic images, the image of H under σ is a subgroup of M . Since it contains the identity of M it must be contained in the group of units $G(M)$.

It remains to show that σ is injective. Suppose $j \in J$ is such that $\sigma(\bar{j}Q) = 1$. By the definition of σ , there is a path between terminal states a and b with label $(1, j)$. By Lemma 4, we may assume without loss of generality that a is the initial state. It follows that j is accepted by the G -automaton A , so by our original assumptions, $\bar{j} \in Q$ and $\bar{j}Q$ is the identity in K . Thus, σ is injective. $\square$

The above results combine with a result from [2] to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 10. *Let M be a monoid with unique left inverses and H a finitely generated group. Then the word problem for H is accepted by a deterministic M -automaton if and only if H has a finite index subgroup which embeds in the group of units of M .*

Proof. If L is finitely generated group H with word problem accepted by an M -automaton, then it follows from Lemmas 8 and 9 that H has a finite index subgroup K which embeds in the group of units of M .

Conversely, if H has a finite index subgroup which embeds in the group of units $G(M)$ of M , then by [2, Theorem 7], the word problem of H is accepted by a deterministic $G(M)$ -automaton, and hence by a deterministic M -automaton. $\square$

4. CONSEQUENCES AND QUESTIONS

An immediate corollary of our main theorem is that if M is a monoid with unique left inverses and finite group of units, then no deterministic M -automaton accepts the word problem of an infinite group.

One example is of particular interest. Let X be an alphabet of size n . Recall that the *polycyclic monoid* of rank n is the submonoid of the semigroup of partial bijections on X^* generated by the functions of the form

$$P_x : X^* \rightarrow X^*x, \quad w \mapsto wx$$

where $x \in X$ and their relational inverses

$$Q_x : X^*x \rightarrow X^*, \quad wx \mapsto w.$$

It is the natural semigroup-theoretic model of the operations which can be performed on a pushdown stack with alphabet X ; the element P_a corresponds to “pushing” a , and Q_a to “popping” a from the stack. More details of this viewpoint are contained in [3, Section 7], while a more general discussion of polycyclic monoids can be found in [6, Chapter 9].

It is readily verified that no non-identity element of a polycyclic monoid has both a left and a right inverse, so that the group of units is trivial. One can also check that such a monoid has the unique left inverse property. It follows, then, that no word problem of an infinite group is accepted by a deterministic polycyclic monoid automaton. This contrasts with the situation for non-deterministic polycyclic monoid automata. We have already noted that the latter recognise exactly the context-free languages [3]; it follows by a well-known theorem of Muller and Schupp [10], that they can recognise word problems of exactly the finitely generated virtually free groups.

We mentioned above that Elston and Ostheimer [2] have exhibited an example of non-deterministic $(F_3 \times F_3)$ -automaton which recognises the word problem of $\mathbb{Z}^3$. Since $\mathbb{Z}^3$ does not have a finite index subgroup which embeds in $F_3 \times F_3$, it follows by Theorem 1 (or by [2, Theorem 7]) that even when the register monoid is a group G , deterministic and non-deterministic G -automata do not in general accept the same word problems.

However, a consequence of Theorem 1, together with the Muller-Schupp theorem and [1, Lemma 3.2], is that a group word problem accepted by a free group automaton is always accepted by a deterministic free group automaton. One is drawn to ask the following question.

Question 11. *For what monoids M is it true that deterministic and non-deterministic M -automata can accept the same group word problems?*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship within the 6th European Community Framework Programme. The author would like to thank John Fountain and Gretchen Ostheimer for some helpful conversations, and Kirsty for all her support and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dassow and V. Mitrana. Finite automata over free groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 10(6):725–737, 2000.
- [2] G. Z. Elston and G. Ostheimer. On groups whose word problem is solved by a counter automaton. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 320(2-3):175–185, 2004.
- [3] R. H. Gilman. Formal languages and infinite groups. *DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Computer Science*, 1991.
- [4] S. A. Greibach. Remarks on blind and partially blind one-way multicounter machines. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 7(3):311–324, 1978.
- [5] O. H. Ibarra, S. K. Sahni, and C. E. Kim. Finite automata with multiplication. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 2:271–294, 1976.
- [6] M. V. Lawson. *Inverse Semigroups: The Theory of Partial Symmetries*. World Scientific, 1998.
- [7] M. V. Lawson. *Finite Automata*. Chapman and Hall, 2003.
- [8] V. Mitrana and R. Stiebe. The accepting power of finite automata over groups. In *New trends in formal languages*, volume 1218 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 39–48. Springer, Berlin, 1997.

- [9] V. Mitrana and R. Stiebe. Extended finite automata over groups. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 108(3):287–300, 2001.
- [10] D. E. Muller and P. E. Schupp. Groups, the theory of ends, and context-free languages. *J. Comput. System Sci.*, 26(3):295–310, 1983.
- [11] D. J. S. Robinson. *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, volume 80 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, second edition, 1996.